1	THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J. BROWN Andrew J. Brown, SBN 160562	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
2	Brian J. Ellsworth SBN 326756 501 W. Broadway, Ste. 1490	County of San Diego <b>02/09/2024</b> at 06:21:00 PM
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 501-6550	Clerk of the Superior Court By Andrea Naranjo,Deputy Clerk
4	andrewb@thebrownlawfirm.com briane@thebrownlawfirm.com	
5	THOMPSON CONSUMER LAW GROUP, PC	
6	Russell S. Thompson, IV SBN 325944 <u>rthompson@thompsonconsumerlaw.com</u>	
7	11445 E Via Linda, Ste. 2 #492 Scottsdale, AZ 85259	
8	T: (888) 332-7252   F: (866) 317-2674	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Stoff, and the Class	
10	CUREDIOD COUR	T OF CALLEODNIA
11		T OF CALIFORNIA
12		SAN DIEGO
13 14	MICHAEL STOFF, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 37-2020-00020808-CU-BT-CTL Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Katherine Bacal
15	Plaintiff,	Dept. C-69
16	vs.	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO PROVIDE NOTICE OF
17	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; and DOES 1 through 10,	PENDENCY TO CLASS MEMBERS PURSUANT TO RULE OF COURT 3.766
18	Defendants.	Date: September 20, 2024
19		Time: 11:00AM Dept.: C-69
20		Reservation No. 3088242
21		Action Filed: June 18, 2020
22		Trial Date: TBD
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		1
		1

28

1	briane@thebrownlawfirm.com
	THOMPSON CONSUMER LAW FIRM
2	Russell S. Thompson, IV SBN 325944
	rthompson@thompsonconsumerlaw.com 11445 E Via Linda, Ste 2 #492a
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85249
4	T: (888) 332-7252 F: (866) 317-2674
5	1. (800) 317-2074
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Stoff and the Class
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

<del>36</del>

29 || 11. /

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff and Class Representative Michael Stoff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), respectfully submits this Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of his Motion To Provide Notice of Pendency of this certified class action.

## I. Statement of Relevant Facts And Procedures

On November 17 and February 5, the Court issued Minute Orders that, together, granted Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification on behalf of a defined class of California mortgage borrowers, appointed Mr. Stoff as Class Representative, and appointed Class Counsel. See, ROA Nos. 417 and 445.

In response to those Orders, Plaintiff, through counsel, has developed a Notice Plan that is designed to provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances to class members, concerning the Pendency of this certified class action. More specifically, the Notice Plan provides:

- 1. The appointment of an experienced Notice Administrator for the purpose of ensuring prompt and effective personal notice is provided to class members;
- 2. Prompt email and/or U.S. mail notice to every known class member based upon the records to be provided by Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.;
- 3. Creation of a website to provide information to class members concerning the lawsuit, including relevant documents and orders filed in this case;
- 4. Content of the Notice to class members that includes basic information concerning the claims in the lawsuit, is written in plain, understandable English, and provides information and an opportunity for class members to request exclusion from the class; and,
- 5. The content of the Notice otherwise complies with all requirements set forth in Cal. R. Ct. 3.766(d).
- See, Declaration of Justin Parks (the "Parks Decl.") and Declaration of Brian Elsworth (the "Elsworth Decl."), and Exhibits attached thereto, filed concurrently herewith.

## II. Argument

California Rule of Court 3.766(c) requires the Court, as soon as practicable upon certification

of a class, to "make an order determining,"

- (1) Whether notice to class members is necessary;
- (2) Whether class members may exclude themselves from the action;
- (3) The time and manner of notice;
- (4) The content of the notice; and
- (5) The parties responsible for the cost of notice.

Cal. R. Ct. 3.766(c).

For every "opt out" class action such as this one, class members must be provided notice of the class action and an opportunity to opt out in order to satisfy due process. Without notice of the pendency of this lawsuit on their behalf, and the opportunity to request exclusion from this lawsuit, class members cannot be bound by any judgment. (*Home Sav. & Loan Assn. v. Superior Court* (1974) 42 Cal.App.3d 1006, 10111 [117 Cal. Rptr. 485]) ("The critical reason for notification of members of the class on whose behalf a class action has been brought is that notification makes possible a binding adjudication and an enforceable judgment with respect to the rights of the members of the class. Absent such notification no member of the class need be bound by the result of the litigation."). See also, *Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin*, 417 U.S. 156, 173-174, 40 L. Ed. 2d 732, 94 S. Ct. 2140 (1974) (Notice provisions of Rule 23 are designed to satisfy Constitutional due process).

Therefore, this opt out class action *requires* adequate notice to absent class members, and the opportunity to opt out of the litigation, i.e. to "exclude themselves." Cal. R. Ct. 3.766(c)(1) and (2). Plaintiff's proposed Notice Plan does just that. Ellsworth Decl., Ex. D.

The time and manner of the notice is reasonably designed to give personal notice to every class member, and a reasonable amount of time to request exclusion from the class, and otherwise makes sense in consideration of the factors set forth in Cal. R. Ct. 3.766(e). See, Parks Decl., ¶¶ 6 – 20; Ellsworth Decl., Exs. A – C. More specifically, Defendant possesses the most current contact information for class members. By providing updated email and residential address information for all class members, the manner of notice proposed by Plaintiff will be the most efficient and effective way to provide personal notice to each class member. Parks Decl.,  $\P$  21.

The content of the various forms of notice also satisfies all the requirements of Cal. R. Ct. 3766(d). See, Parks Decl.,  $\P$  6 – 20; Ellsworth Decl., Exs. A, B, and C. 1 Last, if the Notice Plan is approved as requested by Plaintiff, Plaintiff will bear the costs of 2 3 providing notice to absent class members. Ellsworth Decl., Ex. D 4 **RULE 3.766(b) STATEMENT** 5 In light of the foregoing, and pursuant to Cal. R. Ct. 3.766(b), Plaintiff makes the following 6 statement: 7 Class Notice is required, and Plaintiff's proposed Notice Plan provides a reasonable 8 opportunity for class members to exclude themselves. Rule 3.766(b)(1 and 2). Plaintiff proposes 9 the time and manner of notice to class members as set forth in the Notice Plan as set forth in the 10 attached Parks Declaration. Rule 3.766(b)(3). Plaintiff offers to bear the cost of the Notice Plan as it is proposed. Rule 3.766(b)(4). In the event the Notice Plan is amended in a way that increases 11 12 the cost of Notice, Plaintiff reserves the right to request an allocation of costs among the parties that 13 reflects the increased cost(s). If and when that occurs, Plaintiff will provide the relevant cost estimates as required. Rule 3.766(b)(5). 14 15 **CONCLUSION** 16 Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter an order directing the parties to comply with the Notice Plan proposed by Plaintiff, and set deadlines accordingly. 17 18 19 Respectfully submitted, 20 LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J. BROWN 21 Dated: February 9, 2024 22 23 By: s/ Andrew J. Brown Andrew J. Brown Brian J. Ellsworth 24 25 501 W. Broadway, Ste. 1490 San Diego, CA 92101 26 T: (619) 501-6550 27 28

1	andrewb@thebrownlawfirm.com briane@thebrownlawfirm.com THOMPSON CONSUMER LAW FIRM
2	Russell S. Thompson, IV SBN 325944 rthompson@thompsonconsumerlaw.com 11445 E Via Linda, Ste 2 #492a
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85249 T: (888) 332-7252 F: (866) 317-2674
4	F: (866) 317-2674
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Stoff and the Class
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	